

September 3, 2014
Ciclo de Seminários PESC

Duality for nonconvex optimization

R.S.Burachik

University of South Australia

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Outline

- 1 Sharp Lagrangian Duality
- 2 A search direction
- 3 Modified Subgradient Method
- 4 Issues to Improve
- 5 Algorithm 1
- 6 Algorithm 2
- 7 Theoretical questions
- 8 Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

The Primal Problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \text{ in } K, \quad h(x) = 0, \quad (P)$$

- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lsc
- $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ continuous
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact

The Primal Problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \text{ in } K, \quad h(x) = 0, \quad (P)$$

- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lsc
- $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ continuous
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact

The Primal Problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \text{ in } K, \quad h(x) = 0, \quad (P)$$

- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lsc
- $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ continuous
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact

The Primal Problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \text{ in } K, \quad h(x) = 0, \quad (P)$$

- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lsc
- $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ continuous
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

The Dual Problem

The **sharp Lagrangian** (Rockafellar-Wets, 1997):

$$L(x, u, c) := f(x) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle + c \|h(x)\|.$$

The **dual function**: $q : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q(u, c) = \inf_{x \in K} L(x, u, c)$$

with **dual problem**:

$$\text{maximize } q(u, c) \text{ s.t. } (u, c) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (D)$$

Duality Properties

Sharp Lagrangian is particular case of more general family of Augmented Lagrangians proposed by Rockafellar and Wets, 1997:

- Strong duality: dual and primal problem have same optimal value
- Saddle point properties: recover primal solution from dual solution
- Dual problem is convex: can use known techniques to solve it

Duality Properties

Sharp Lagrangian is particular case of more general family of Augmented Lagrangians proposed by Rockafellar and Wets, 1997:

- Strong duality: dual and primal problem have same optimal value
- Saddle point properties: recover primal solution from dual solution
- Dual problem is convex: can use known techniques to solve it

Duality Properties

Sharp Lagrangian is particular case of more general family of Augmented Lagrangians proposed by Rockafellar and Wets, 1997:

- Strong duality: dual and primal problem have same optimal value
- Saddle point properties: recover primal solution from dual solution
- Dual problem is convex: can use known techniques to solve it

Duality Properties

Sharp Lagrangian is particular case of more general family of Augmented Lagrangians proposed by Rockafellar and Wets, 1997:

- Strong duality: dual and primal problem have same optimal value
- Saddle point properties: recover primal solution from dual solution
- Dual problem is convex: can use known techniques to solve it

The subproblem

Fix (u, c) a dual variable:

Find $\tilde{x} \in X(u, c) := \underset{x \in K}{\text{Argmin}} [f(x) + c \|h(x)\| - \langle u, h(x) \rangle]$

Fact: $(-h(\tilde{x}), \|h(\tilde{x})\|) \in \partial q(u, c)$

The subproblem

Fix (u, c) a dual variable:

$$\text{Find } \tilde{x} \in X(u, c) := \underset{x \in K}{\text{Argmin}} [f(x) + c \|h(x)\| - \langle u, h(x) \rangle]$$

Fact: $(-h(\tilde{x}), \|h(\tilde{x})\|) \in \partial q(u, c)$

The subproblem

Fix (u, c) a dual variable:

$$\text{Find } \tilde{x} \in X(u, c) := \underset{x \in K}{\text{Argmin}} [f(x) + c \|h(x)\| - \langle u, h(x) \rangle]$$

Fact: $(-h(\tilde{x}), \|h(\tilde{x})\|) \in \partial q(u, c)$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

A subgradient direction

Update rule: Given current $z_k := (u_k, c_k)$, search along **subgradient** direction $g_k \in \partial q(z_k)$:

$$z_{k+1} = z_k + s_k g_k$$

where **step-size** $s_k > 0$. A **subgradient** of q at z_k is

$$g_k = (-h(x_k), \|h(x_k)\|)$$

where

$$x_k \in X(u_k, c_k).$$

Choices of Step-size

Divergent series rule:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^2 < \infty \quad (\text{SLOW})$$

If $\text{Opt}(P) = \text{Opt}(D) = \bar{q}$ is known:

$$s_k = \delta \frac{\bar{q} - q(u_k, c_k)}{\|g_k\|^2}, \quad 0 < \delta < 2 \quad (\text{BETTER})$$

Choices of Step-size

Divergent series rule:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^2 < \infty \quad (\text{SLOW})$$

If $Opt(P) = Opt(D) = \bar{q}$ is known:

$$s_k = \delta \frac{\bar{q} - q(u_k, c_k)}{\|g_k\|^2}, \quad 0 < \delta < 2 \quad (\text{BETTER})$$

Choices of Step-size

Divergent series rule:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{(SLOW)}$$

If $Opt(P) = Opt(D) = \bar{q}$ is known:

$$s_k = \delta \frac{\bar{q} - q(u_k, c_k)}{\|g_k\|^2}, \quad 0 < \delta < 2 \quad \text{(BETTER)}$$

Choices of Step-size

Divergent series rule:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{(SLOW)}$$

If $Opt(P) = Opt(D) = \bar{q}$ is known:

$$s_k = \delta \frac{\bar{q} - q(u_k, c_k)}{\|g_k\|^2}, \quad 0 < \delta < 2 \quad \text{(BETTER)}$$

Choices of Step-size

Divergent series rule:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{(SLOW)}$$

If $Opt(P) = Opt(D) = \bar{q}$ is known:

$$s_k = \delta \frac{\bar{q} - q(u_k, c_k)}{\|g_k\|^2}, \quad 0 < \delta < 2 \quad \text{(BETTER)}$$

Sharp Lagrangian Duality

A search direction

Modified Subgradient Method

Numerical Applications

Issues to Improve

Algorithm 1

Algorithm 2

Theoretical questions

Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

The subproblem

A subgradient direction

Stepsizes

Subgradient Method

Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Find $(u, c) \in \arg \min_{(u, c) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m} \Phi(u, c)$

Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + s_k \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k > 0$.

Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + s_k \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k > 0$.

Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + s_k \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k > 0$.

Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + s_k \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k > 0$.

Sharp Lagrangian Duality

A search direction

Modified Subgradient Method

Numerical Applications

Issues to Improve

Algorithm 1

Algorithm 2

Theoretical questions

Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Previous Results

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Find $\bar{u}_k \in \arg \min_{u \in U} L(u, c_k)$

Find $\bar{c}_k \in \arg \max_{c \geq 0} L(\bar{u}_k, c)$

Set $(u_{k+1}, c_{k+1}) = (\bar{u}_k, \bar{c}_k)$

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$.

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004)

(B. & Gasimov & Ismayilova & Kaya, 2006, B. & Kaya 2007, B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009)

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$.

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004)

(B. & Gasimov & Ismayilova & Kaya, 2006, B. & Kaya 2007, B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009)

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$.

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004)

(B. & Gasimov & Ismayilova & Kaya, 2006, B. & Kaya 2007, B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009)

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$.

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004)

(B. & Gasimov & Ismayilova & Kaya, 2006, B. & Kaya 2007, B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009)

Modified Subgradient Method

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) with $c_0 \geq 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$.

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004)

(B. & Gasimov & Ismayilova & Kaya, 2006, B. & Kaya 2007, B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009)

Previous Results I

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004):

$$q_{k+1} > q_k$$

Under $0 < \varepsilon_k < s_k = \frac{2(\bar{q} - q_k)}{5 \|h(x_k)\|^2}$, one gets

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}$$

Previous Results I

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004):

$$q_{k+1} > q_k$$

Under $0 < \varepsilon_k < s_k = \frac{2(\bar{q} - q_k)}{5 \|h(x_k)\|^2}$, one gets

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}$$

Previous Results I

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004):

$$q_{k+1} > q_k$$

Under $0 < \varepsilon_k < s_k = \frac{2(\bar{q} - q_k)}{5 \|h(x_k)\|^2}$, one gets

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}$$

Previous Results I

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004):

$$q_{k+1} > q_k$$

Under $0 < \varepsilon_k < s_k = \frac{2(\bar{q} - q_k)}{5 \|h(x_k)\|^2}$, one gets

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}$$

Previous Results I

(Gasimov 2002; Gasimov & Ismayilova 2004):

$$q_{k+1} > q_k$$

Under $0 < \varepsilon_k < s_k = \frac{2(\bar{q} - q_k)}{5 \|h(x_k)\|^2}$, one gets

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}$$

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results II

(B., Gasimov, Ismayilova & Kaya 2006):

Under $s_k \geq \eta \frac{\bar{q} - q_k}{\|h(x_k)\|^2}$, $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and

$$q_k \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad (u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{c}), \quad \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$$

where $\tilde{x}_k \in X(u_k, c_k + \beta)$ for $\beta > 0$

If $0 < \eta < 2$, (u_k, c_k) bded \iff dual solution exists

Previous Results III

(B. & Kaya 2007):

- A *numerically stable* update rule for an h_1 -penalty method.
- The method has *primal convergence* iff q is differentiable at the (dual solution) limit.

Previous Results III

(B. & Kaya 2007):

- A *numerically stable* update rule for an h_1 -penalty method.
- The method has *primal convergence* iff q is differentiable at the (dual solution) limit.

Previous Results III

(B. & Kaya 2007):

- A **numerically stable** update rule for an l_1 -penalty method.
- The method has **primal convergence** iff q is differentiable at the (dual solution) limit.

Previous Results III

(B. & Kaya 2007):

- A **numerically stable** update rule for an l_1 -penalty method.
- The method has **primal convergence** **iff** q is differentiable at the (dual solution) limit.

Previous Results IV

(B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009):

Find x_k approximately:

$$L(x_k, (u_k, c_k)) \leq q_k + r_k,$$

for r_k small and converging to zero,

and same convergence results hold.

Previous Results IV

(B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009):

Find x_k approximately:

$$L(x_k, (u_k, c_k)) \leq q_k + r_k,$$

for r_k small and converging to zero,

and same convergence results hold.

Previous Results IV

(B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009):

Find x_k **approximately**:

$$L(x_k, (u_k, c_k)) \leq q_k + r_k,$$

for r_k **small and converging to zero**,

and **same** convergence results hold.

Previous Results IV

(B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009):

Find x_k **approximately**:

$$L(x_k, (u_k, c_k)) \leq q_k + r_k,$$

for r_k **small and converging to zero**,

and **same** convergence results hold.

Previous Results IV

(B. & Kaya & Mammadov, 2009):

Find x_k **approximately**:

$$L(x_k, (u_k, c_k)) \leq q_k + r_k,$$

for r_k **small and converging to zero**,

and **same** convergence results hold.

Choices for errors r_k

- I: $r_k = \tau$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)
 - II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

I: $r_k = \tau$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)

II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

I: $r_k = \tau$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)

II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.

- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

- I: $r_k = \tau, k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)
 - II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

- I: $r_k = \tau, k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)
 - II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

- I: $r_k = \tau, k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)
 - II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Choices for errors r_k

- I: $r_k = \tau$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ (MSG)
 - II: $r_k = r_0 > 0$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - III: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/2, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - IV: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/5, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
 - V: $r_k = \max\{r_{k-1}/10, \tau\}$, if $\|h_k\| > a$; $r_k = \tau$, if $\|h_k\| \leq a$.
- In II-V, r_0 is specified and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Murtagh and Saunders (1983)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min \quad f_0(x) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 \\ \quad \quad \quad + (x_2 - x_3)^3 + (x_3 - x_4)^4 + (x_4 - x_5)^4 \\ \\ \text{s. t.} \quad f_1(x) = x_1 + x_2^2 + x_3^3 - 3\sqrt{2} - 2 = 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad f_2(x) = x_2 - x_3^2 + x_4 - 2\sqrt{2} + 2 = 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad f_3(x) = x_1 x_5 - 2 = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

(Floudas et al. 1999)

- t_{CPU} : CPU time in seconds
 n_L : Total number of (Lagrangian) function evaluations
 n_{IMSG} : Number of IMSG iterations

	I	II	III	IV	V
t_{CPU}	0.58	0.43	0.39	0.45	0.45
n_L	6400	3631	2990	4065	3873
n_{IMSG}	7	7	7	7	7

Table : Performance of IMSG: Problem 1, with $c_0 = 1$, $u_0 = (0, 1, 1)$, $\hat{q} = 0.1$ (upper estimate for \bar{q}), $\alpha = 1$, $\delta = 0.1$; $\tau = 10^{-10}$, and $a = 0.9$.

Quadratic Integer Programming

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min \quad f_0(x) = a^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad -1 \leq x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 \leq 1 \\ \quad \quad -3 \leq x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 2 \\ \quad \quad x_i \in \{-1, 1\} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$a^T = [6 \quad 8 \quad 4 \quad -2], \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_1(x) := x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4$$

$$g_2(x) := x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min \quad f_0(x) = a^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x \\ \\ \text{s. t.} \quad f_1(x) = \max(0, g_1(x) - 1) = 0 \\ \quad \quad f_2(x) = \max(0, -(g_1(x) + 1)) = 0 \\ \quad \quad f_3(x) = \max(0, g_2(x) - 2) = 0 \\ \quad \quad f_4(x) = \max(0, -(g_2(x) + 3)) = 0 \\ \quad \quad f_5(x) = \sum_{i=1}^4 |(x_i - 1)(x_i + 1)| = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

	I	II	III	IV	V
t_{CPU}	0.79	0.57	0.37	0.35	0.37
n_L	7112	1506	1255	1217	1469
n_{IMSG}	7	22	9	8	8

Table : Performance of IMSG: Problem 2, with $c_0 = 1$,
 $u_0 = (-1, -1, -1, -1)$, $\hat{q} = -19$, $\alpha = 1$, $\delta = 0.05$; $\tau = 10^{-10}$, and
 $a = 0.7$.

Three Issues:

Stepsize needs knowledge of **optimal value**

Convergence results require **nonempty solution set** which forces penalty parameters to exist (too strong)

primal convergence is not proved

Three Issues:

Stepsize needs knowledge of **optimal value**

Convergence results require **nonempty solution set** which forces penalty parameters to exist (too strong)

primal convergence is not proved

Three Issues:

Stepsize needs knowledge of **optimal value**

Convergence results require **nonempty solution set** which forces penalty parameters to exist (too strong)

primal convergence is not proved

Three Issues:

Stepsize needs knowledge of **optimal value**

Convergence results require **nonempty solution set** which forces penalty parameters to exist (too strong)

primal convergence is not proved

Algorithm 1: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\rho \geq \eta > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Find $\lambda_k \in \arg \min_{\lambda \geq 0} L(u_k, \lambda)$ — STOP

Define $\lambda_k = \min\{\lambda_k, \rho(c_k - \lambda_k)\}$ and $c_{k+1} = \max\{c_k - \lambda_k, 0\}$

$u_{k+1} = \arg \min_{u \in U} L(u, \lambda_k)$

$(u_{k+1}, c_{k+1}) \leftarrow (u_{k+1}, c_{k+1})$

Algorithm 1: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\beta \geq \eta > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$ Define $\eta_k := \min\{\eta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$ and $\beta_k := \max\{\beta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k) \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 1: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\beta \geq \eta > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \min\{\eta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$ and $\beta_k := \max\{\beta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k) \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 1: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\beta \geq \eta > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \min\{\eta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$ and $\beta_k := \max\{\beta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k) \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 1: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\beta \geq \eta > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \min\{\eta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$ and $\beta_k := \max\{\beta, \|h(x_k)\|\}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k) \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Results I

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded iff dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded **iff** dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded **iff** dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded **iff** dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded **iff** dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded iff dual solutions exist

Results I

If dual solutions exist, then

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- $\{(u_k, c_k)\}$ converges to a dual solution
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.
- Dual sequence bded **iff** dual solutions exist

Results II

Even when dual solutions do not exist, we have

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.

Results II

Even when dual solutions do not exist, we have

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.

Results II

Even when dual solutions do not exist, we have

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.

Results II

Even when dual solutions do not exist, we have

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.

Results II

Even when dual solutions do not exist, we have

- $\{h(x_k)\}$ converges to zero
- and $\{q_k\}$ converges to \bar{q} .
- All accumulation points of $\{x_k\}$ are primal solutions.

Algorithm 2: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\bar{\rho} \geq \bar{\eta} > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Find (u, c) such that $\|u - u_k\|_X = \bar{\rho}$, STOP

Define $\rho_k = \min\{c_k, \bar{\rho}\}$ and $\eta_k = \min\{c_k, \bar{\eta}\}$

Find (u, c) such that $\|u - u_k\|_X = \rho_k$ and $c = \eta_k$

Define $\rho_{k+1} = \min\{c_k, \bar{\rho}\}$ and $\eta_{k+1} = \min\{c_k, \bar{\eta}\}$

Define $\rho_{k+1} = \min\{c_k, \bar{\rho}\}$ and $\eta_{k+1} = \min\{c_k, \bar{\eta}\}$

Define $\rho_{k+1} = \min\{c_k, \bar{\rho}\}$

Algorithm 2: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\bar{\beta} \geq \bar{\eta} > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step $k.1$ Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step $k.2$ Define $\eta_k := \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$ and $\beta_k := \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := & u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := & c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 2: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\bar{\beta} \geq \bar{\eta} > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$ and $\beta_k := \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 2: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\bar{\beta} \geq \bar{\eta} > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$ and $\beta_k := \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Algorithm 2: B.-Iusem-Melo, 2009

Step 0 Choose (u_0, c_0) , with $c_0 \geq 0$ and fix $\bar{\beta} \geq \bar{\eta} > 0$

Step k Given (u_k, c_k) :

Step k.1 Find $x_k \in X(u_k, c_k)$. If $h(x_k) = 0$, STOP.

Step k.2 Define $\eta_k := \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$ and $\beta_k := \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\|h(x_k)\|}$

$$\text{Set } \begin{cases} u_{k+1} & := u_k - s_k h(x_k), \\ c_{k+1} & := c_k + (s_k + \varepsilon_k) \|h(x_k)\|, \end{cases}$$

where $s_k \in [\eta_k, \beta_k]$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Results

If dual solutions exist, then

- Alg 2 has finite termination, at a **primal-dual** solution.

Results

If dual solutions exist, then

- Alg 2 has finite termination, at a **primal-dual** solution.

Results

If dual solutions exist, then

- Alg 2 has finite termination, at a **primal-dual** solution.

Some Questions

- When can **primal convergence** be guaranteed ?
- When is existence of dual solutions **equivalent** to **exact penalty representations/parameters** ?

Some Questions

- When can **primal convergence** be guaranteed ?
- When is existence of dual solutions **equivalent** to **exact penalty representations/parameters** ?

Some Questions

- When can **primal convergence** be guaranteed ?
- When is existence of dual solutions **equivalent** to **exact penalty representations/parameters** ?

For $r \geq 0$, we consider primal sequences of a general form:

$$x \in X_r(u, c) := \{x \in K : f(x) + c\sigma(h(x)) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle \leq q(u, c) + r\},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ proper, continuous, s.t.

$$\min \sigma = 0, \quad \text{Argmin } \sigma = \{0\}$$

For $r \geq 0$, we consider primal sequences of a general form:

$$x \in X_r(u, c) := \{x \in K : f(x) + c\sigma(h(x)) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle \leq q(u, c) + r\},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ proper, continuous, s.t.

$$\min \sigma = 0, \quad \text{Argmin } \sigma = \{0\}$$

For $r \geq 0$, we consider primal sequences of a general form:

$$x \in X_r(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) := \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle \leq q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) + r\},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ proper, continuous, s.t.

$$\min \sigma = 0, \quad \text{Argmin } \sigma = \{0\}$$

For $r \geq 0$, we consider primal sequences of a general form:

$$x \in X_r(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) := \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle \leq q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) + r\},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ proper, continuous, s.t.

$$\min \sigma = 0, \quad \text{Argmin } \sigma = \{0\}$$

For $r \geq 0$, we consider primal sequences of a general form:

$$x \in X_r(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) := \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle \leq q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) + r\},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ proper, continuous, s.t.

$$\min \sigma = 0, \quad \text{Argmin } \sigma = \{0\}$$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(u, c) = \{x \in K : f(x) + c \sigma(h(x)) - \langle u, h(x) \rangle = q(u, c)\}$$

$$C(u) := \{d \geq 0 : X(u, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(u) := \{d \geq 0 : X(u, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(u) := \inf C(u)$$

$d(u)$ exact penalty parameter when $C(u) = D(u) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

P^* primal solutions & D^* dual solutions:

$$X(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c}) = \{x \in K : f(x) + \mathbf{c} \sigma(h(x)) - \langle \mathbf{u}, h(x) \rangle = q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{c})\}$$

$$C(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d + \eta) = P^*, \forall \eta > 0\}$$

$$D(\mathbf{u}) := \{d \geq 0 : X(\mathbf{u}, d) = P^*\}$$

$$d(\mathbf{u}) := \inf C(\mathbf{u})$$

$d(\mathbf{u})$ exact penalty parameter when $C(\mathbf{u}) = D(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$

If $d(u) < \infty$, TFAE:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

(i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter

(ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

If $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii) Whenever (u_k, c_k) converges to $(u, d(u))$ and x_k is such that $x_k \in X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k)$, with r_k converging to zero, then every accumulation point of x_k solves (P).

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext } X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

(i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter

(ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext } X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\text{Limext}_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\text{Limext}_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

In other words, if $d(u) < \infty$, **TFAE**:

- (i) $d(u)$ is exact penalty parameter
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ differentiable at $(u, d(u))$

If $0 < d(u)$ (i)(ii) are equivalent to:

(iii)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{r_k}(u_k, c_k) = P^*$$

when $(u_k, c_k) \rightarrow (u, d(u))$, $r_k \rightarrow 0$

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then TFAE:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then TFAE:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then TFAE:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then **TFAE**:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then **TFAE**:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then **TFAE**:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then **TFAE**:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

If σ is s.t. $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists $R > 0$ s.t.

$$M(w) := \sup_{x \in K, \|h(x)\| \leq R} \left\langle w, \frac{h(x)}{\sigma(h(x))} \right\rangle < \infty$$

then **TFAE**:

- (i) $D^* \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for all u
- (iii) $C(u) \neq \emptyset$ for some u

(B., 2009)

Sharp Lagrangian Duality
A search direction
Modified Subgradient Method
Numerical Applications
Issues to Improve
Algorithm 1
Algorithm 2
Theoretical questions
Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Primal Convergence & Exact Penalty Parameters
Dual Solutions & Exact Penalty Parameters

Current Work

- Extensions:

- Convexity and the Exact Penalty Parameter
- Subgradients
- Duality
- Exact Penalty Parameter

Current Work

- Extensions:
 - Compare numerical behaviour of new algorithms with existing MSG versions
 - Develop IMSG with **primal convergence**
 - Extend results of Alg 1-2 to **general augmenting functions** or/and **Banach spaces**

Current Work

- Extensions:
 - Compare numerical behaviour of new algorithms with existing MSG versions
 - Develop IMSG with primal convergence
 - Extend results of Alg 1-2 to general augmenting functions or/and Banach spaces

Current Work

- Extensions:
 - Compare numerical behaviour of new algorithms with existing MSG versions
 - Develop IMSG with **primal convergence**
 - Extend results of Alg 1-2 to **general augmenting functions** or/and **Banach spaces**

Current Work

- Extensions:
 - Compare numerical behaviour of new algorithms with existing MSG versions
 - Develop IMSG with **primal convergence**
 - Extend results of Alg 1-2 to **general augmenting functions** or/and **Banach spaces**